G R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~191~~ 1904-05

(Madurai)

File No. 234

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Recovery of the arrears of lease amount from Venkatarama Achar Lessee of the Sri Vyasarajaswami matt lands in the Tinnevelly & Sri Vaikuntam Taluks, Tinnevelly Dt.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.

Notice issued to the Sri Vijayaranga-
-swamigalavaru from the District-
-munsiff's Court
at Tirumully.

LAST REFERENCE.—

Letter No. Regd 2059 dated Kurtha
Bahula 8 received from the Sri Vijayaranga
-swamigalavaru.

The Swamigalavaru
was Office.
sent the notice for necessary,
issued to him from the District-
munsiff's Court at Tirumully,
requiring him to appear before the
said Court on the 3rd December
1904,
either in person or by a pleader
to show cause why execution should
not be granted to the plaintiff one
Venkatanarasimachar on his
Execution Petition No. 574 of 19

in Original Suit- No 75 of 1901 in
which the Swamigalavaru was
the defendant-

Submitted with a humble draft-
reply to the Swamigalavaru.

The papers regarding the
Vyasaraya Matt, and further
the taking up of the lands in
British Territory ~~heretofore~~
requires time and correspondence
with the British Govt.

In the meantime it does not
seem possible for the Govt
to do anything in the matter
of the Notice now received
The Notice too has been received
very late and does not show
the amount of the decree.

A reply in Kannada is laid

Manda Seshagiri Rao
2/12/04

Sd/-
2/12/04.

Submitted to the Diwan
through the 2nd Asst.

196
2.12.04.

This is an incident
connected with the British
Territory. Unless we undertake
the management of
[illegible]
and remitted by
the

3191
4.12.04.

3067

The report should mention that the remuneration has been received up to date. The time referred to has already expired. Call for the particulars of the delay and a copy of the judgment. In the meanwhile the Shanmukha Mudaliar will have to pay up the rate.

5.XII.04

Seen by Mr Shanmukha Rao Ex 8/12/04

7/12/04

Read 7.12.04

Draft worked out 9/12/04

12/12

Note continued

The above orders of the Dewan were communicated to the Swamigalu. In reply, the Swamigalu has sent up Srimukha No. 2352, dated Krodhi Margasira Suddha Trayodasi in it the Swamigalu says that some 7 ago, one Gopalakrishnaiahar

applied to the Civil Court and got certain Sarvamanya lands in the village of Vahakulam in the Ambasamudram Taluk, Tinnevelly District, belonging to the Matt, attached in execution of a decree for some amounts due to him by the Matt, but that before these when the attachment was made, the Plaintiff Venkataramanachar paid up the decreed amount to the credito Gopalakrishnachar, and got the lands released from attachment;

(2) that some time after, the said Venkataramanachar pressed for payment of his dues, viz Rs 500/-, being the principal and the interest advanced to Gopalakrishnachar, but as they were not forthcoming, he filed a suit against the Matt in the District Munsiff's Court of Tinnevelly, and obtained a decree in his favor, for that amount.

The Swamigal further states that in January 1904, however, the village of Srivaikuntam in the same District belonging to the Matt was leased out to him (the Plaintiff), at his own request for a period of 9 years, and on his depositing Rs 500/-, it was further stipulated that he should pay himself 50 annually and pay back to the Matt whatever was due to the Matt at the termination of the period of the lease. He now repudiated the transaction and applied for the execution of the decree originally

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

obtained in his favor, by the attachment of the lands of the Matt in the Srivaikuntam village. Hence the present notice of the warrant of attachment.

The Swamigalu takes this opportunity to ~~of~~ state. that Srigachar, Agent of the Matt at Bangalore has written a Banaha to him that the amounts due by the Matt to the Matt servants and to the several Bazaarmen for the articles supplied by them will all be paid up by Govt. before the end of this month and to ~~of~~ pray ~~ed~~ that the same may be done as promised and himself relieved of troubles in this direction

~~Submitted~~ } The Swamigalu has not sent a copy of the judgment as requested

Submd
KS
31/1/05

Ask again for a copy of the judgment — 31/1/05

(Note Continued)
The Swami galu was accordingly to send a copy of the Jud

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

reply he states (in his Irumukha no 186 dt 3111 20/2/05) that copy of the judgment required is not forthcoming in the matt records and has sent the original receipt for Rs 500/- obtained from Tinnevelly Venkataramanachar, the decree-holder in full satisfaction of the decree-amount, viz Rs 727/14-6. The receipt is dated 29 January 1904. × × flag A

Submd for orders

JS
8/3/05

Issk Mr Raghavendra Rao
the Govt Agent to enquire into
this matter and report soon

AK 31/8/05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

XIG&PB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Re the lease of certain lands in the Tinnevelly and Srivaikuntam taluks of the Tinnevelly District, belonging to the Sri Sonale Vyasaroya Swami Matt.

From

The Govt Agent, Sri Vyasaroya Swami Matt.

Report No. 49, dated 24th February 1907.

The Govt Agent of the Sri Vyasaroya Swami Matt reports that one Venkata-ramanaphen has taken out the lease of certain lands in the Tinnevelly and the Srivaikuntam taluks of the Tinnevelly District belonging to the Sri Vyasaroya Swami Matt, under an unregistered of lease obtained by him from the Swamigals in the year 1904, but he has not paid up the lease of Rs 200/- per annum, for the first current years. He also reports

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

the same transaction, the lessee has purposely abstained from registering the lease deed though a Vakalat therefor was furnished by him, in view to commit fraud upon the matt. He also brings to notice the lessee's unreliability in his transactions and solicits orders in the matter of filing a suit for his eviction from the leasehold. The cost of the suit is estimated at about Rs 600/-.

The Govt Agent also solicits orders in the matter of the satisfaction of the a decree obtained by the above mentioned lessee for Rs 500 odd (now amounting to about Rs 800/- on account of the interest accruing thereon since the date of the passing of the decree) against the late Swamigalu.

The Govt Agent's report, which is in English, explains the full facts of the case

Submitted

A perusal of the Govt Agent's report shows the fraudulent manner in which lessee Venkataramanachar

31/0/

GPE—1,000—11-6-03

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

has been behaving. His omission to regularly pay the amount due under the lease and the amounts payable to the British Govt, entitles us, I think, to proceed to Court and get his lease cancelled. The Govt Agent may, I think, be authorized to take good legal opinion and proceed to Court against the lessee and see all the matters settled, and also get the lease cancelled.

A large amount of Court costs has to be paid, besides the amount of the decree which the lessee holds, but this cannot be helped.

397

Subject to the 2nd [illegible]

Approved 7/3/

Draft prepd 7/3/07

GPB 3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No.	of 190

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

ఆశ్వా 4

17/5/05

ಆರ್/ಕಿರಿಯ/7

11/4/05

ನಂ: 3747 ಕೇಸ್/File

ದಿ 8.4.05

ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು
ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬರಲು ಆದೇಶ.

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ [illegible] ಇವರು
ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಇವರನ್ನು
ಮೇಲಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮೇಲಿನ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಇವರನ್ನು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ
ಮಾಡಲು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರನ್ನು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೆಲಸ

Bringing me

19/5/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4294
TRANSPORT UNIT

Submitted for
orders

27/5/05

M. Raghavendra Rao
Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Matt

31-12-04-

உ

கல்லிடைகுறிச்சி

29 - 12 - 1904.

మన్నన సంఖ్య సహకార శిక్షణ సంస్థ అనంతపురం

No. 747/Estt/2005

Dt 8.4.05

ఈ సహకార శిక్షణ సంస్థ అనంతపురం నందు ఖాళీగా ఉన్న ఒక సీనియర్ ఫ్యాకల్టీ పోస్టును ఒప్పంద ప్రాతిపదికన భర్తీ చేయుటకు ఆసక్తి కలిగిన అభ్యర్థుల నుండి దరఖాస్తులు కోరడమైనది.

ఈ పోస్టుకు అర్హత పొందుటకు కనీస విద్యార్హతలు మరియు ఇతర వివరాలు క్రింది విధంగా ఉన్నవి.

1. అభ్యర్థి ఏదైనా గుర్తింపు పొందిన విశ్వవిద్యాలయం నుండి కనీసం 55% మార్కులతో డిగ్రీ పరీక్ష ఉత్తీర్ణులై ఉండాలి. అదనంగా ఎం.ఎ. (ఎకనామిక్స్) లేదా ఎం.కామ్ లేదా ఎం.బి.ఎ. (ఫైనాన్స్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.డబ్ల్యు. (హెచ్.ఆర్.) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చర్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (ఎక్స్టెన్షన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎకనామిక్స్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్) లేదా ఎం.ఎస్.సి. (అగ్రికల్చరల్ ఎక్స్టెన్షన్ ఎడ్యుకేషన్ అండ్ కమ్యూనికేషన్ అండ్ మేనేజ్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎంటర్ప్రెన్యూర్షిప్ అండ్ లీడర్షిప్ అండ్ ఇన్నోవేషన్ అండ్ టెక్నాలజీ అండ్ సస్టైనబిలిటీ అండ్ గ్లోబలైజేషన్ అండ్ ఫ్యూచర్ అండ్ ఎథిక్స్ అండ్ ఫిలాసఫీ అండ్ థియరీ అండ్ ప్రాక్టీస్ అండ్ రీసెర్చ్ అండ్ డెవలప్మెంట్ అండ్ ఎవాల్యుయేషన్ అండ్ ఇంపాక్ట

No. 5 Agri(II)

25-7-05

Secretary

Insurance Department

19/7/05

Regn no
2057

copy of the notice
has been taken.
10/12/04 AK

Recd. fr. ord. dated
at 6.30 p.m. on 9/12/04.
AK

No: 2143 fw 1 (notice in ord.)
dt 10/12/04.

శ్రీ వల్లభ్ భరత్ కుమార్ నివాసి ప్లాట్ నెం 2
కంపాండ్ లయన్ వీధి అనకాపల్లి వారు
దుందుడుకు దందా శ్రీ వల్లభ్ నాయుడు
రుషికొండ దగ్గర శ్రీ వల్లభ్ దివ్య
రంగురంగుల భవనం శ్రీ వల్లభ్ మిత్తల వారి
శ్రీమతి ముద్దుకృష్ణ మిత్తల్ గారికి

నోటీసు :-

మీ మీదుగా శాంతిస్థాపన చేయు నిమిత్తము
మాకు నోటీసు ఇవ్వడమైనది. అనగా మీరు
మరియు మీ అనుచరులు మా క్లయింట్ పట్ల
చేసిన అక్రమ దాడులు మరియు అరాచకాలు
మీ మీద ఫిర్యాదు చేయడానికి మరియు
మీ మీద కేసు నమోదు చేయడానికి మా
క్లయింట్ కు పూర్తి హక్కు ఉన్నది. అయినప్పటికీ
మీ మీద ఉన్న గౌరవం కొద్దీ మా క్లయింట్
మీకు ఈ నోటీసు ఇవ్వడం జరిగింది.

22/1/50 Reply →

MYSORE

2352

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೃತಜ್ಞತೆಗಳು. ಅವರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಅವರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಅವರು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ ಲೇಖನಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

SOME 260 MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

No 6
Enc 2

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾಸಾರಥಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಪತಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವರು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ನನಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿರುತ್ತದ

Muz. 25]

ನಂ. 1343 ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ದಿ 25/8/06

ತಿಪ್ಪನಿರಂಜನಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರವರತದ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗುವಂಗೆ. ಗವರ್ನ
ಮೆಂಟರ ಕರ್ತೃಗಳು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸನದು

ಶ್ರೀಮದರಸಿಕೆರೆ ಶೀಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಿರಿಯೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಶ್ರೀಪೈ
ಲಂಗಮಠದ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಶಿವಾಂಗ
ಸಿದ್ಧಿಯಾದ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗಿ ಅದರಂತೆ ನೀವು ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರ
ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ
ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ
ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ
ದವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ
ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪಟ್ಟಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ
ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ
ಈ

1319

CK

Reference

Date

Sir

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Government Order No. 1343 dated 25/8/1906 sanctioning the construction of a Kacha road from Kanakapura to Sathanur in the Kanakapura Taluk of the Bangalore District.

The road is 12 miles in length and the estimated cost is Rs. 1,200. The work is to be carried out by the Public Works Department.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Raghavan

Government

Mysore

or Sri

M. 4. 25]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿಶ್ವನಾಥಂಗಳು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಗವರ್ನ್‌
ರವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ

ತೀರ್ಥವನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಂಗಳವು
ಸುಬ್ಬಾಭಟ್ಟರವರು. ಶ್ರೀಶೈಲಂ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ
ಸಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದ ನಕಲನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿ
1315 ನೇ ವರ್ಷದ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳು ೨೨ ನೇ
ದಿನಾಂಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವರು.
ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಶ್ರೀಶೈಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುವ
ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ವರ್ಷವೂ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರಲು
ಸಿದ್ಧತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಪ್ಲೇಗ್ ರೋಗದ
ಭಯದಿಂದ ಹೋಗಲಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವರ್ಷ
ಮಾತ್ರ ಹೋಗಲಾಗದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕ್ಷಮೆಯಿರಲಿ ಎಂದೂ
12 ನೇ ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ತೀರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ
1319
ಸವರಿಸಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದ ಸಾರಾಂಶವನ್ನು 1905
ನವೆಂಬರ್ 6 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಾರಾಂಶ

7/11

Secretary to the
Govt.

11
6/11/06

GPB—1,000—21-6-06

Office of the Government Agent
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt.
Mysore Mowrai Department
Camp Tinnevelly Bridge
the 11th October 1906

No 24 1935

20/10/06

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Mowrai Department
Bangalore

Report submitted by Government Agent
M Raghavendra Rao of the Sri Vyasara
ya Swami Matt

Re Claim of Venkataramanachar a Judge
-ment creditor in O S no 75 of 1901 on the file
of the Tinnevelly District Munsiff Court
against Sri Vyasaraya Swamigalavaru

Reference. Mowrai Secretary's Order under
date 31/3/05 on file no 3191
4.12.04

The Government Agent respect-
fully begs to report that from the accom-
panying copy of Judgment in the above
case it will be seen that one Venk

at-

at the request of Sri Swamigalavaru paid Rs 422-0-1 in satisfaction of the Decree in Small Cause no 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnevelly Sub Court

2 The Sri Swamigalavaru having failed to repay Venkataramanachar the above amount with interest as agreed to by him filed a Suit against the Sri Swamigalavaru

3 The Sri Swamigalavaru allowed the Suit to proceed ex-parte A Decree was passed against the Sri Swamigalavaru for Rs 536.21

4. Venkataramanachar the Judgment creditor being also Lessee of the Matt lands situated in the Tinnevelly and Sri Vaikuntam Taluks, the Swamigalavaru entered into a settlement with him to the effect that on account of both the Decree amount and its interest Venkataramanachar should accept Rs 500 which sum he should recover at Rs 50 per annum from the annual amount he has to pay to the Matt

accordingly gave a

Tamil on 29th January 1904, a

of the same is herewith

On the same date

a nirup was also given to Venkataramana
by the Sri Swamigalavaru,
char purporting to the above effect (A. Ca-
naree translation of the nirup is herewith
submitted). All this appears to have taken
place at Sosale a few days after the Sri
Swamigalavaru signed the Lease Deed in
favor of Venkataramanachar for the Matt
lands of the Tinnevelly and Srirangam
Taluks. Venkataramanachar on his return
to Tinnevelly gave a registered notice to the
Sri Swamigalavaru stating that he will
not abide by the terms of the nirup or
the receipt above mentioned, and that the
stipulated amount of ~~Rs~~ 500 should be paid
to him in one lumpsum if satisfaction is
to be entered against the Decree, and forth-
with applied for execution of the Decree
He caused a piece of Inam wet land of the
Matt attached which land forms a part
of the Matt properties leased out to him
5. The Judgment Debtor having failed to
put the receipt disclosing the
in Court and obtain its s
within 3 months after it was
appears it is not possible
same in Court and

6. The only course open to the judgment Debtor therefore now is that he should pay the full amount of Decree with interest up to date in Court and proceed against the Judgment creditor to get proper relief against him for taking execution in breach of the agreement with the Moatt as evidenced by the receipt and minup

7. Without resorting to this lengthy, tedious and expensive legal process the Government Agent after long struggle with Venkata-ramanachar made him to accept the conditions mentioned in the minup above referred to and act up to the same According ing to the above arrangement a receipt has been obtained from him pinning him to the conditions of the minup. Which is also herewith submitted for perusal with a Canarese translation.

8. The Government Agent has handed over the original receipt to Pleader Mr R. Rama Rao B A B L with a request to the same to the Court and do needful

the Government Agent hearing Pleader the final result of the the Court in the matter

a further report will be submitted
on the settlement of this long pending
business also delayed the Government
Agent here till now as Veerakumara
Thambiah did not come to terms until yesterday.

M. Raghavendra Rao
Government Agent
Shri Vijayaraja Swamis Matt.

In the Court of the District Munsiff
at Tinnevelly

Wednesday the 16th day of October
One thousand nine hundred and one

Present:- J. L. Fernandez Esq., B.A. M.L.
District Munsiff

Original Suit no 75 of 1901

Venkataramanachar ——— Plaintiff

Versus

Sri Vidya Sri Samudra Theertha Swami ——— Defendant

This Suit coming on for hearing the Court delivered the following Judgment:-

Suit for Rs 536-2-1

Plaintiff alleges that, at Defendants request, he satisfied the decree in S.C. 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnevelly Sub Court obtained against defendant by the payment of Rs 422-9-1 into Court in February 1898 and that defendant agreed to pay this to plaintiff with interest at 9

but that he failed to do so

2 The defendant allowed the suit to proceed ex-parte

3. The points for determination are:—

I Whether plaintiff paid Rs 422-9-1 in satisfaction of the decree in S C 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnevelly Sub Court obtained against defendant?

II Whether the payment was made at defendants request, and whether defendant agreed to pay the amount to plaintiff with interest at 9 p c per annum?

4. I find both points in the affirmative The payment is proved by the evidence of Plaintiff and Exhibit A, a petition certifying satisfaction to Court Plaintiff also deposes that he made the payment at defendants request and that defendant agreed to pay the amount to him with interest at 9 p. c per annum.

5. It is decreed that defendant do plaintiff Rs. 536—2—1 and costs on Rs 422-9-1 at 9 p c.

per annum from the date of the
to this date, together with further inte-
rest on the aggregate sum so adjudged
at 6 p.c. per annum from the 14th octo-
ber 1901 till payment.

(Signed) J C Fernandez District Munsiff

Plaintiff's Exhibit.

A
15-2-98 Public copy of the petition in S.C.
845 of 1886 on the file of the Tinnevelly
Sub-Court certifying satisfaction of
the decree therein to Court

Plaintiff's Witness

Venkataramanachari

(Signed) J C. Fernandez District Munsiff

(True copy)

M. Raghavendra Rao

Government Agent

Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt

"Translator"

M. Raghavendrarao

Govt Agent

Sri Vyasaraja Mutt

ಸಂಗೀತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅನೇಕ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿರುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಅನೇಕ ಸಂಗೀತ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇವರ ಸಂಗೀತ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ೧೯೭೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜ್ಯೋತ್ಸವ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ. ಇವರ ಸಂಗೀತ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ೧೯೭೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜ್ಯೋತ್ಸವ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ. ಇವರ ಸಂಗೀತ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ೧೯೭೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜ್ಯೋತ್ಸವ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

ಪಿ ಶಂಕರನಾರಾಯಣ ಅವರು ಸಂಗೀತ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿ ಪುರಸ್ಕಾರಗಳು ಸಂದಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿವೆ.

೧೯೭೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯೋತ್ಸವ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೭೭ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೭೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೭೯ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೧ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೨ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೪ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೬ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೭ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೮೯ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೧ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೨ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೩ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೪ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೫ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೬ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೭ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೮ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೧೯೯೯ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

೨೦೦೦ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ಗಾಯನ ಸಮಾಜವು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಗೀತ ಕಲಾನಿಧಿ ಪ್ರಶಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಿದೆ.

"Translation"

M. Raghavendra Rao

Gouh Aglet

Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Matt

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದರು

ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿ

"Translation"

M. Raghavendrarao

"Translation"

M. Raghavendra Rao

Govt Agent

A

Please ask the Govt Agent-
to report on the final result of
the case as promised in the last-
para of his letter

JS
26/11/06

copied
29/11/06

Murgai

cfi

4.1907.

In reply to his report
No nil dated 11th October
1906, the Government Agent
of the Sri Vyasaraya Mutt is requested to report on the
final result of the case in
OS. No 75 of 1901 on the file
of the Tinnevelly District
Munsiff's Court re claim
of Venkataramana Char
~~as promised in his report.~~

~~Bijapur~~,
DFt 30/11/06
Secretary

28/11/06

Office of the Government Agent Mysore Mugrai Depart,
for Sri Vyasaraja Swami Matt

Dated, Camp Simavelly, Bridge 24th February 1907.
Despatched 28

Mugrai

Hc

Rs
4/3/07

No. 49

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Mugrai Department
Bangalore

Report Submitted by Government Agent
M. Raghavendra Rao. ———

Re: Lease arrangement with Lessee Venkata-ramanachar of Simavelly for the landed properties of the Sri Vyasaraja Swami matt situated in the Talukas of Simavelly and Srirangapatna, Simavelly District.

Begs respectfully to report that Venkataramana char during his visit to the late Sri Vidya Samudra teertha Sripadangalavaru at Periyapatna in January 190 obtained a stamped lease bond from the late Swamigalavaru under date the 23 January 1904 where came to the possession as a Lessee of the particularized in the accompa

x marked A

ning over a period of 9 years from Chitterai of Aundoo 1080 to that of 1089 (corresponding to Fasleen 1314 to 1322) for an annual lease amount of Rs 200. The lease bond states that the Lessor has received on the date of the lease bond a sum of Rs 300 from the Lessee as Deposit and further sets forth briefly the following terms :—

(a) The Lessee has to pay the Lessor the annual lease amount of Rs 200 in two instalments of Rs 100 each viz: the first on 30th Kartikai and the 2nd on the 30th of Vyakhasi of each year.

(b) On conclusion of the lease terms of 9 years the Lessor should return to the Lessee the Deposit amount of Rs 300 on the 30th Chitterai of Aundoo 1089 and the Lessee should then surrender the Lease properties to the Matt.

(c) The Lessee should pay to Government the annual rent of Rupees 200 on the due dates. Whether this rent is increased or decreased the Lessor has no concern with it.

Should the Lessee fail to pay the lease or Government rents on the due dates levied from the Deposit

amount of Rs 300- and the property leased out will be taken over by the Matt making the Lessee to forfeit the Lease.

2. The above Lease Deed was delivered by the Matt to the Lessee with a Vakalat to get the same registered in the Local Registry Office at Jemmally. The Lessee has not shewn the Vakalat to the Government Agent who is now under the necessity to procure a copy of the same from the Office of the Local Sub Registrar to whose Circle Poorigala is attached. The Lessee did not get the Lease Bond registered as he should have done, but on the 6th May 1904 he himself drew up a Deed* styled as a Counter part of the above Lease Bond and forwarded the same to the Lessor - Swamigalavaru having got it duly registered. When the Government Agent had been to Jaffna to obtain all the documents relating to the properties of the Matt made over to his management, the late Swamigalavaru gave him no document except the above Counter which he delivered to him stating Lessee had been.

*X marked B

respectable man and could be thoroughly relied upon. In the terms of the Counter-part the Lessee has not re capitulated all the terms contained in the Original Lease Bond of which it is meant to be a Counter part, but he has simply indicated the fact of it having been executed by the late Swaminagalavaru on the 23rd January 1904 and added the following extra condition favorable to him:-

(2) Should the Lessor fail to re-pay the Lessee the Deposit of Rs 300 on the 30th Chittirai of the last lease Aundoo 1089 the Lessor should pay the Lessee interest on the Deposit at Annas 12 per cent per month. He will continue to be the Lessee of the property till the Deposit amount is refunded to him paying to the Lessor the annual Lease amount of Rs 200 as agreed to by him deducting therefrom the interest on Deposit each Aundoo until the 30th Chittirai

in which the D

3/

is refunded.

3 The Swaminigalavarw in his Nirup[X] dated 20th Purataasi of the year Krodhi (5th September 1904) has accepted the Counter part and while doing so intimated to the Lessee that he should act up to the terms set forth both in the Original un registered lease deed granted him by the Lessor and his subsequent Counter-part.

4 Besides the above the matt is involved in another dealing with Lessee Venkataramanachar. He has obtained a Decree[X] against the matt in O.S. No. 75 of 1901 on the file of the District Munsiff of Tirumanly for a sum of Rs 536.2.1 besides Court Costs and current interest. All this will, up to date, amount to about Rupees 800. A few days after the above lease bond was executed the Lessee who is the Decree-holder came to a settlement with the late Swaminigalavarw in which it was mutually agreed that the Judgment should accept Rs 500 in full

arising from the Decree

[X] marked C1

~~Mark~~ Ex R

of Rs 5 should recover at Rs 50 per year from the annual lease amount of Rs 200 payable by the Decree holder as ~~Lessee~~ Lessee to the matt in the Lease transaction. Accordingly the Decree-holder passed a receipt on 29 January 1904 to the late Swamigalavaru for Rs 500 i.e 6 days after the execution of the Original Lease Bond. The late Swamigalavaru also granted a Nirup to the Judgment-Creditor on the same date authorizing him to with-hold annually Rs 50 from the Lease amount. But immediately on his return to Tirunaivilly Venkataramanachar applied for the execution of the Decree and got a piece of wet land included in his Lease, attached ~~attached~~ disregarding the settlement made with the late Swamigalavaru on 29 January 1904

5 These matters were represented to the present Agents' legal adviser who said that the Swamigalavaru who was then alive the Lease Counter part tendered

were building upon them. The Government Agent therefore called upon the Lessee to pay the Lease amount for two Faslees 1314 and 1315 then due by him. The Lessee explained that in Faslee 1314 he did not come into possession of all the lease properties and with much difficulty and delay he paid to the Govt Agent the sum of Rs 150 for Faslee 1315.

6 with a view to bind the Judgment Creditor and Lessee Venkataramanachar to the terms of the Kirup of 29th January 1904 the Government Agent while recovering the Lease amount of Faslee 1315 permitted the Lessee to with-hold Rs 50 as the first instalment towards the sum of Rs 500 accepted by the Decree-holder in the above settlement and reported the circumstance in his No 24 dated 11th October 1906. The receipt obtained by the Govt Agent from the Judgment Creditor for Rs 50 was delivered to Pleader Mr Rama Rao for entering satisfaction in Court. In the meantime Suit No 34/06 having been filed by Senapathi Iyer in the Sub Judge's Court of Tinnevelly against the late Swaminathaiyar and Venkataramanachar it was not known what documents advantageous to the Matt this case were with Venkata

and how far his services would be necessary
in the interests of the Estate
in this litigation the Reader had to wait to
see how the case was developing. While the
affairs were so situated the news of the late
Swaminjalavarer's death reached here it was
not possible to put the receipt in Court
unless fresh powers of attorney were obtained
An application has now been made to the District
Munseff's Court at Tirumanilly to issue notice to
the Judgment Creditor to appear in Court and speak
to his having agreed to receive ₹500 in full settlement
of the claim contained in the Decree and also
having received the first instalment of ₹50
towards the above settlement

7 In regard to the Lessee's plea that in Aundoo
1080 he did not come fully to the possession of the
lease property referred to in para 5 the Government
Agent begs to state that for Aundoos 1078–1079 & 1080
the lands at Sri Vykuntam, Seripuliyangudi and
Parakramapondi villages in Srivykuntam Taluk
were given on lease to one Moothoomala
thiru late Mr. Krishna Iyya a farmer
of that Taluk. Lessee Venkataramanachar

5/

in pos Lease Counter part of the Lease Bond doo

x marked 7 1080 is included shewed him a Nirup[x] dated

Sos bhakrutu Magha bahula Chaturdase

from the late Swamigalavaru to Ta Lasuldar Krishna

Iyya calling upon him to recover ~~Rs 1100~~ from

Inoothoomala Kutumbam the old Lessee the sum of

Rs 450 the Lease amounts for 3 Aundoos 1078, 1079

and 1080 at Rs 150 per Aundoo and to remit Rs 250

to the Matt remitting the sum of Rs 200 towards the

old Lessee's Deposit amount. Thus settling with

the old Lessee the late Sa Krishna Iyya was told in

the Nirup to make over the property to the new

Lessee Venkataramanachar. This Nirup which is

duly signed and sealed by the late Swamigalavaru

so far clearly shewed that the above lands had not

come to the possession of the new Lessee Venkatarama-

nachar for Aundoo 1080. This belief was further strength

x marked F1 ened by a perusal of receipt[x] dated 6th November 1905

in which Subbachar the son of the late Swamigala-

varu on behalf of the Matt accepted Rs 24 from the

Lease amount of Faslee 1315 which in the

Course of business would mean

that the Matt was settled with by the

he may have been for Faslee 1314. When the Government Agent went deeper into the matter he sent at Trichi, where the late Mr. Krishna Iyya was residing, that when Venkata-ramana char came to Trichi with the late Swamiga-varu's Nirup he had died. Thereupon Venkataramana char obtained from Moothoomala Kalemban a Pro note for ₹ 250 which was due by him up to Arudoo 1080 to the Matt as per Nirup and gave him an Ijadast, and granted him a Sub lease for the lands he had formerly held from Mr. Krishnaiyya for a further period of two years. Thus the sum of ₹ 250 has not as yet been paid to the Matt. × Copy marked G

By obtaining a Pro-note in his own name from the former Lessee for the sum due by him Venkataramana char has become liable to the Matt in so far as he, knowing that the former Lessee's Lease had not been terminated in Arudoo 1080, mentioned that Arudoo in his own Counter part tendered to the Matt out of his own free will.

8 He has not also paid to the Government Agent the first Instalment of ₹ 100 towards the Lease Amount for Faslee 1316 i.e the Current Faslee.

he has failed to pay the Government demand for about which an Official Post

to the

by the Revenue Inspector of Srivaikuntam. The Government Agent may be furnished with funds to pay the Government demand to save the lands from being sold by the Tahsildar for revenue default. It may be mentioned here that in the Srivaikuntam Taluk 1.45 acres of wet land formerly belonged to the Matt were sold for arrears of revenue about 30 years ago owing to similar neglect on the part of the then lessees to pay the Government thistoo due dates. Although these lands are still borne in the name of the Srivaishnava Swamy Matt ~~the Sagavale~~ on the old Survey Settlement registers, the Sagavale Pattas are in the names of the present holders. It is not now possible to reclaim these lands.

9 In the face of the foregoing irregularities on the part of Lessee Venkataramanachar who, has not getting registered the late Swamigalavaru's lease deed marked A, has apparently committed a fraud by making thus the document inadmissable in evidence. It is essential to eject him from his lease-hold and recover the arrears of rent due to the Matt by means of a law suit, the probable cost of which as far as it could be as will be as follows:—

Court fees Stamps for Plaint	Rs.	400 — 0 — 0
Summons &c @ 5 per cent on institution fee	"	40 — 0 — 0
Lawyers Regulation fee on the value of property to be recovered	"	150 — 0 — 0
Sundry Charges	"	10 — 0 — 0
Total Rupees		600 — 0 — 0

10 The unfairness in the dealings of the Lessee Venkataramanachar having come to the notice of the Government Agent in many respects he was fearing that his conduct would sooner or later lead to an expensive litigation and he, in the interests of the Matt very much dreaded to have to spend a large sum of money for this law suit as it is feared that there is no possibility of recovering even a small fraction of the decreed Court costs in as much as Venkataramanachar is a man of no means and there are already some Civil warrants against him.

11 Moreover it must be here stated that in the event of Venkataramanachar not agreeing to accept the conditions of the Navirp marked E: with to the settlement of Judgment Debt on decree &c the Matt he will have to be paid in ready Rs 800 in its satisfaction and get the same

attached after filing forthwith. a

Rent. This matter therefore is one that needs very Early

Consideration and orders.

12 The Government Agent was told two days ago by Sri Kimmahalli
Lenapatti Iyer Plaintiff in O.S. No 314/06 in the Sub Court
that Venkataramanachar, previous to his getting the
Matt land attached for debt in execution of the
Decree, & had made over the same Decree to his
relative. A notice at the latter's instance had been given
to the late Swamigalavaru thro' the Court intimating
that the Judgment Debt has to be paid to him in lieu
of the Plaintiff (Judgment Creditor) Venkataramanachar, but no notice
was received at the Mysore Secretariat about it
from the late Swamigalavaru. It is not possible to
know in how many of his dealings Lessee Venkata-
ramanachar has entangled the landed properties of
the Matt occupied by him in lease hold. It is therefore
of urgent necessity to file the suit against him as
represented above.

M. Raghavendra Rao

Government Agent

Mysore Inam Department

for Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt

Statement shewing the landed properties belonging to the Sri Vyasaraya Swami matt at Timmavully Venkataramanachar from Chitterai of Fundoo 1080 to C

Taluk	Village	Description of property	No of title deed or patta	Survey field Number	Sub-Division Letter or Number	Land Dry or Wet	One Crop or two crops	Extent by Survey if not surveyed by Amal Register or Inam title	Karam	Assessment	Quit pt Quit rent including old loan
Timmavully	Sendupandoram No 48.	Inam	J.D 429	4	-		2	" 85	11	8	
				148	"	wet	2	" 43	5	13	1 4
				158	"	wet	2	"	6	10	
				J	e		-	1.4			
Srivykunt	Sirupuliyan gudi No 22	Patta	Patta No 158	843	A	wet	2	" 31	5	7	
				923	B	wet	2	" 55	9	10	ass
				92		wet	2	" 65	11	6	
								1 51			
	Srivykuntam No 21	Patta	Patta No 139	278		wet	2	" 58	10	2	
				441	A	Dry		" 50	0	8	
				Per	an	t Pa	nyra	ore	2	9	
				441	B	wet	2	2 12	21	3	
				J				3 20			
	Parakrama-pondi village No 23	Inam	No title	508			2	1 58	28	0	
				1					a tax		3 7 P
				519		wet	2	" 36	6	5	0 1 [illegible]
						Tent		1 4			
		Patta	Patta 479	47	"	wet	2	" 5	7	"	wa
						wet			"	3	The [illegible]
			Patta 101	501		wet	2	1 32	23	2	
			191	510		nyra	2	0 3	12	12	
								15		3	
			101	516		wet	2	1 19	20	13	
			175	538	"	wet	2	0 57	10	"	J. wa
			101	543		wet		0 71	12	7	[illegible]
			101	730		wet	2	0 35	4	12	
			101	731		wet	2	0 4	0	3	Palaya
			441	733		wet	2	0 56	7	9	This was
			101	73	A	wet	2	0 8	0	2	
			P			Palu		ore		12	
			101	73	B	wet	2	2 2	27	4	
			P		P		pa		0	1	
			101	789		wet		0 86	8	10	
			J					30			
	Murappanad Koil Pattu No 46	Inam	8	23			2	" 58	10	2	4 20
				274		wet		" 12	2	2	0 13 R
				J	el		-	0 70			
	Keel Puttanare No 48	Inam	8	39		wet	2	0 80	14	"	5 8
				160		wet	2	0 32	8	10	2 3
								1 12			
			Total 7					3 76			
of	lands in							1			
of	lands in	Sri V						15 4			

Timmavelly

Govt Agrat Dorbd

wami matt

Bridge

1 07

In the Timmavelly and Sri Vyakuntam Taluks of the Timmavelly District, leased out Fasli 1089 (Fasleev 1314 to 1322).

Amt payable to Government			Local Cess payable to Government										Total Government demand	Remarks
Charge for Cowle subsequent to Mulkand	Total		Land cess			Village cess			Railway Cess			Total		
" " "	1	14 6	1	7 11		" " "			5 11			1 13 10	3 12 4	
Permanent Cowle	26	7 -	1	10 5		0	8 10		0	6 8		2 9 11	29 0 11	
Permanent Cowle	34	6 0	2	2 5		-	11 6		"	8 7		3 6 6	37 12 6	
These two Cowles were formerly Patta Cowles held under Govt Patta by the Mattadar but in Fasli 1285 they were converted as Inam Cowles in lieu of Matt Cowle taken by Government for Railway purposes at Kesla Patta Village Timmavelly taluk vide Timmavelly Collector's Order No 151 dated 23-6-1876 to the Tahsildar of Srivy Kuntam taluk.													4 3 11	
Sold for revenue arrears 30 years ago, about 28 Permanent trees. Present Cowle holder Thamunga Kuttuntam.														
Sold for revenue arrears about 30 years ago, present Pattadars a Pillai, Gomate ammal & Mettu ammal.														
Tax	111 1 0		9 1 5			3 - 5			2 4 4			14 6 2	129 14 1	
	0 3 0													
Sold for revenue arrears in Fasli 1283. Present Pattadar Seetara Ayyalu ammal														
" " "	4	15 10	"	12 3		" " "			"	3 1		- 15 4	5 15 2	
" " "	7	11 10	1	3 7		"	13 1		-	4 11		2 5 7	10 1 5	
													20 4 6	
													196 11 6	
													217 " "	

@ Vide also Tahsildar's Order No 1269 dated 6th December 1906 from Assistant Director No 4 Party Tinnevelly 29-6-1876. Vide No 1416 dated 18-8-1876 Survey to Government Agent Dr. Raghavendra Rao Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mattu received this & Special Asst to Government Officer Tinnevelly Co. No 2054 dated 5-12-04.

Dr. Raghavendra Rao
Government Agent
Mysore Mission Depart
for Sri Vyasaraya

A

Copied from the Original which is in the possession of
Rao Saheb Venkataramanacharlu.

M. Raghavendra Rao
Govt Agent

Translation of the above document.

Translated by

M. Raghavendra Rao

Govt. Agent

24.2.07

ਸਾਲ 1089 ਦੇ ਸ਼ੁ
ਸਰਮਾਨੀ ਛਡ ਕਰ ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਬਹੁਤ 1089 ਦੇ ਸ਼ੁ
ਛਲ ਤੋਮਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ
ਹਜ਼ਾਰ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ
ਸਲਾਮ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ

ਸਮਾਰਕ ਨੰ: 101 ਮਿਤੀ 10 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ (ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ)
ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਹਾਈ ਸਕੂਲ ਚੱਕ ਨੂਰਾ
ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਸੁਨਹਿਰੀ ਬਾਗ਼

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ: ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਖੇਡ ਮੇਲੇ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਿਆਰੀਆਂ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ

ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦਾ ਏਜੰਡਾ ਅਤੇ ਫ਼ੈਸਲੇ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਨ:

1. ਖੇਡ ਮੈਦਾਨ ਦੀ ਸਫ਼ਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਹੱਦਬੰਦੀ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕਰਨਾ।
2. ਦੌੜਾਂ, ਛਾਲ ਅਤੇ ਗੋਲਾ ਸੁੱਟਣ ਦੇ ਮੁਕਾਬਲਿਆਂ ਲਈ ਟਰੈਕ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਨਾ।
3. ਜੇਤੂ ਖਿਡਾਰੀਆਂ ਲਈ ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਅਤੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਖ਼ਰੀਦਣਾ।
4. ਮੇਲੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਸਾਫ਼ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦਾ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਕਰਨਾ।
5. ਮੈਡੀਕਲ ਸਹਾਇਤਾ ਲਈ ਇੱਕ ਡਾਕਟਰ ਦੀ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਲਗਾਉਣਾ।

ਇਹ ਫ਼ੈਸਲੇ ਸਰਬਸੰਮਤੀ ਨਾਲ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਉੱਤੇ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕੀਤੇ। ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਦੂਜੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਦੇ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਬੋਰਡ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਅਗਲੀ ਬੈਠਕ 23 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਵੇਰੇ 11 ਵਜੇ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ। ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਪਹੁੰਚਣ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਮੁੱਖ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ

ਨਕਲ: ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਲਈ।

ਸਮਾਰਕ ਨੰ: 102 ਮਿਤੀ 12 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ (ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਹਾਇਕ)
ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਹਾਈ ਸਕੂਲ ਚੱਕ ਨੂਰਾ
ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਅਤੇ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਸੁਨਹਿਰੀ ਬਾਗ਼

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ: ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਖੇਡ ਮੇਲੇ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਿਆਰੀਆਂ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ

ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦਾ ਏਜੰਡਾ ਅਤੇ ਫ਼ੈਸਲੇ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਨ:

1. ਖੇਡ ਮੈਦਾਨ ਦੀ ਸਫ਼ਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਹੱਦਬੰਦੀ ਦਾ ਕੰਮ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਕਰਨਾ।
2. ਦੌੜਾਂ, ਛਾਲ ਅਤੇ ਗੋਲਾ ਸੁੱਟਣ ਦੇ ਮੁਕਾਬਲਿਆਂ ਲਈ ਟਰੈਕ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਨਾ।
3. ਜੇਤੂ ਖਿਡਾਰੀਆਂ ਲਈ ਸਰਟੀਫਿਕੇਟ ਅਤੇ ਇਨਾਮ ਖ਼ਰੀਦਣਾ।
4. ਮੇਲੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਸਾਫ਼ ਪੀਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਪਾਣੀ ਦਾ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਕਰਨਾ।
5. ਮੈਡੀਕਲ ਸਹਾਇਤਾ ਲਈ ਇੱਕ ਡਾਕਟਰ ਦੀ ਡਿਊਟੀ ਲਗਾਉਣਾ।

ਇਹ ਫ਼ੈਸਲੇ ਸਰਬਸੰਮਤੀ ਨਾਲ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਉੱਤੇ ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਕੀਤੇ। ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਕਾਪੀ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੱਖੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਦੂਜੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਦੇ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਬੋਰਡ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਅਗਲੀ ਬੈਠਕ 23 ਅਕਤੂਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਵੇਰੇ 11 ਵਜੇ ਹੋਵੇਗੀ। ਸਾਰੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਪਹੁੰਚਣ ਦੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਮੁੱਖ ਅਧਿਆਪਕ

ਨਕਲ: ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਲਈ।

ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਇਜਾਜ਼ਤ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਦਰਜ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਹੁਣ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਨਹੀਂ ਚਲਾਉਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੀ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਤੋਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਨੂੰ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਹੁਰੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਦੋਸ਼ੀ ਬਣਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਦੋਸ਼ ਲਾਇਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਹੁਰੇ ਪਰਿਵਾਰ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਦਾਜ ਲਈ ਤੰਗ ਪ੍ਰੇਸ਼ਾਨ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਨਾਲ ਮਾਰ-ਕੁਟਾਈ ਵੀ ਕੀਤੀ। ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਤੋਂ 300 ਰੁਪਏ ਮਹੀਨਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਭੱਤਾ ਅਤੇ 1000 ਰੁਪਏ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਖਰਚਾ ਦਿਵਾਉਣ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ 300 ਰੁਪਏ ਮਹੀਨਾ ਗੁਜ਼ਾਰਾ ਭੱਤਾ ਅਤੇ 1000 ਰੁਪਏ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਖਰਚਾ ਦਿਵਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਹੁਕਮ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪਤੀ ਨੇ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਕਾਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੇਕੇ ਘਰ ਚਲੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਪੇਕੇ ਘਰ ਗਿਆ ਪਰ ਉਸ ਨੇ ਵਾਪਸ ਆਉਣ ਤੋਂ ਇਨਕਾਰ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਕਾਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਕਾਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਕਾਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ। ਉਸ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਪਤਨੀ ਬਿਨਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਕਾਰਨ ਤੋਂ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਛੱਡ ਕੇ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਹ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ ਲਿਆਉਣ ਲਈ ਤਿਆਰ ਹੈ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਮੌਕਾ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ ਪਰ ਉਹ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕੇ ਸਨ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

ਸਰਨਾਲਾ ਸਥਿਤ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਸਿਵਲ ਜੱਜ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਜੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਹੋਈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਦੋਵਾਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਕੀਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਦਲੀਲਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਜਦੋਂ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੇ ਆਪਸੀ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਕਰ ਲਿਆ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਅੱਗੇ ਚਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਫਾਇਦਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਦੋਵੇਂ ਧਿਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਵਿਵਾਦ ਆਪਸੀ ਗੱਲਬਾਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਹੱਲ ਕਰਨੇ ਚਾਹੀਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦਾ ਸਮਾਂ ਬਰਬਾਦ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਨਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ।

3 ਅਦਾਲਤ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੱਕ ਮੁਲਤਵੀ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ ਜਦੋਂ ਤੱਕ ਉਹ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਪੂਰਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਜਾਂ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦੀ ਰਾਏ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਪੂਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਸਫਲਤਾ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਮੁਲਤਵੀ ਹੋਣ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਖਾਰਜ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਜਾਂ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਸੁਣਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ, ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਉਚਿਤ ਸਮਝੇ, ਜੇਕਰ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦੀ ਰਾਏ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਪੂਰਾ ਕਰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਸਫਲਤਾ ਦਾ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਨ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਮੁਲਤਵੀ ਹੋਣ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਖਾਰਜ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਜਾਂ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਸੁਣਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ, ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਉਚਿਤ ਸਮਝੇ,

4 ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਜਾਂ ਸਮਝੌਤਾ ਜਿਸ ਦੇ ਤਹਿਤ ਕੋਈ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਸੁਣਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਧੋਖਾਧੜੀ, ਗਲਤ ਬਿਆਨੀ ਜਾਂ ਗਲਤ ਪੇਸ਼ਕਾਰੀ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਆਪਣੇ ਆਦੇਸ਼ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਕੱਦਮੇ ਨੂੰ ਬਹਾਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਨੂੰ ਰੱਦ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ, ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਕੇਸ ਹੋ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਅਤੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਹੁਕਮ ਪਾਸ ਕਰ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਉਚਿਤ ਅਤੇ ਨਿਆਂਪੂਰਨ ਲੱਗਦਾ ਹੈ।

5 ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਜਾਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਜਾਂ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਮੁੱਦਾ ਉਠਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਕਿਸੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦੇ ਹੱਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਮੁੱਦੇ 'ਤੇ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲਾ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦੀ ਇਜਾਜ਼ਤ ਨਾਲ ਮੁਕੱਦਮੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹੋ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾ ਵਿਰੋਧ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਕੇਸ ਹੋ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਮੁੱਦੇ 'ਤੇ ਜਿਸ 'ਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਹੱਕ ਹੈ।

6 ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਅਜਿਹਾ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਜਾਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਜਾਂ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਮੁੱਦਾ ਉਠਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਕਿਸੇ ਅਜਿਹੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦੁਆਰਾ ਦਾਇਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਦੇ ਹੱਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਸ ਮੁੱਦੇ 'ਤੇ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਹੱਕਦਾਰ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਡਿਕਰੀ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲਾ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦੀ ਇਜਾਜ਼ਤ ਨਾਲ ਮੁਕੱਦਮੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਹੋ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਦਾ ਵਿਰੋਧ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਜਿਵੇਂ ਕਿ ਕੇਸ ਹੋ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਮੁੱਦੇ 'ਤੇ ਜਿਸ 'ਤੇ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਨ ਦਾ ਹੱਕ ਹੈ।

7

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಶೈಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 843 ಸಿ. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 31
ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 921 ಸಬ್ಸ್.55 ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 925 ಸಬ್ಸ್ 65
ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಜಮೀನುಗಳ

"Translation of Tamil Registered document"

M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent -
Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt

"True copy of a copy"

m

Translation of the above in Canarese

"Translated by M Raghavendrarao"

In the Court of the District Munsiff at

Wednesday the 16th day of October.

One thousand nine hundred and one.

Present:- J.C. Fernandaz Esquire B.A. M.L.

District Munsiff

Original suit No. 75 of 1901.

Venkalaramanachar Plaintiff

vs

Sri Vidya Sri Samudrathirth swami. Defendant

The suit coming on for hearing, the Court delivered the following Judgement. Suit for Rs 536-2-1.

Plaintiff alleges that, at defendant's request, he satisfied the decree in S.C 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnavelly Sub Court obtained against Defendant by the payment of Rs 422-9-1 into Court in February 1898, and that defendant agreed to pay this amount to Plaintiff with interest at 9% per annum, but that he failed to do so.

2. The defendant allowed the suit to proceed Ex-parte

3 The points for determination are:—

I Whether plaintiff paid Rs 422-9-1 in satisfaction of the decree in S.C 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnevelly Sub Court obtained against defendant

II Whether the payment was made at defendants' request and whether defendant agreed amount to plaintiff with interest at

4 I find both points in the ~~application~~ affirmative The payment is proved by the evidence of Plaintiff and Exhibit A, a pitition certifying satisfaction to court Plaintiff also deposes that he made the payment at defendants request and the defendant agreed to pay the amount to him with interest at 9% per annum

5. It isdecreed that defendant do pay plaintiff ~~Rs~~ 536-2-1 and costs with interest on ~~Rs~~ 422-9-1 at 9% per annum from the date of the plaint to this date, together with further interest on the aggregate sum so adjudged at 6% per annum from the 17th October 1901 till payment.

/Singed/ J C. Fernandez Dist. Munsiff

Plaintiff's Exhibit

A 15-2-98 Public copy of the pitition in S C. 845 of 1885 on the file of the Tinnavelly Sub Court certifying satisfaction of the decree there-in to Court

Plaintiff's witness

Venkataramana Char.

Signed/ J.C Fernandez.

District Munsiff

True Copy

M. Raghavendra Rao

Translated, from the original
Tamil receipt, by M. Raghavendrachar
South Agent.

"True copy"

M. Raghavendra Rao
Govt Agent
24.2.06

Translation of the above: —

Translated by

M. Raghavendrachar

Pleader

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು :- ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

1. ಕೆಳಗಿನವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲ - ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲದ್ದನ್ನು ಆರಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಥವಾ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಥವಾ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಸಂಕೇತವಲ್ಲದ್ದು ಯಾವುದು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಬೆಲೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಒಂದನೆಯ ಕಾಂಡ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಅಂಶದ ಭಾಷಾಂತರ

Translation of a Tamil Kirup

M. Rajaveudrakoo

Govt Agent.

Ex

2

1905 ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ
ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಕ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಜವಾದಿ ಚಳವಳಿ

1905ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 28ರಂದು ಬಂಗಾಳ ವಿಭಜನೆಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿತು. ಬಂಗಾಳ ವಿಭಜನೆಯ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಬಂಗಾಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ಸ್ವದೇಶಿ ಚಳವಳಿಯು ಕ್ರಮೇಣ ಭಾರತದ ಇತರ ಭಾಗಗಳಿಗೂ ಹಬ್ಬಿತು. ಬಂಗಾಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ಬಹಿಷ್ಕಾರ ಚಳವಳಿಯು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕಕ್ಕೂ ಹಬ್ಬಿತು. ಬಹಿಷ್ಕಾರ ಚಳವಳಿಯ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವದೇಶಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದವು. ಸ್ವದೇಶಿ

ಅಕ್ಷರ ಕಲಿಸಿದ ಗುರುವಿಗೆ

ನಮನ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಶಿಷ್ಯವರ್ಗದವರಿಂದ

Dictated by Muthumala Kutumban

M. Raghavendra Rao

Government Agent

H

Sir. Memo. Srivaikuntam
20-2-07

Having returned from camp to-day, the information required for the arrears due by the Moattars noted here under:-

Village,	Puttah No.	Amount due for February.--
Srivaikuntam	139	~~do~~ 9 - 4 - 0
Tiru-puliangudi	158	do 7 - 8 - 0
Parakramapandiy.	101.	do. 31 - 11 - 0.
		Total ~~Rs~~ 48 - 7 - 0

I am yours -
Illegible
Revenue Inspector
20-2-07

True Copy
M Raghavendra Rao
Govt Agent

14/11/06

2472

.vrs

1/
5/11/06

To.

L. Ananthasami Rao Esquire

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Muzarai Department.

Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I the undersigned Dewanayakam Pilly resident of Vakaikulam village, Ambasamundram Taluk, Tinnavelly District, most respectfully beg to bring the following few lines into your kind Consideration and favourable orders.

Srivaikunta, marappanadu & other villages belonging to Vyasarayaswami mutt were leased by the late Swamii for 200 Rs: a year and that bond was not registered owing to certain technical objections.

One mr. Shanmugam Pilly writes to me that he has applied to the Govt: of Mysore, offering Rs: 300/- per year for the lease of the same lands.

I pray that the said lands may be kindly ordered to be given to Shanmugam pilly if the said lease is not given to him. I beg to apply for the same lease for 15 years from the 1st. January 1907, tendering Rs 305/- per year.

Yours

Requesting that my prayer may kindly be granted

I beg to remain
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]

Bangalore
14/11/06

No. 45, 96, 1, 1

One

Adverting to his no
49 d 24th Feb: 1907
reporting on the lease
arrangement with lessee
Venkataramanachar of
Tinnevelly for the landed
properties of the Sri Vyasa
Rayaswami Matt, in the
Taluks of Tinnevelly and
Sri Vaikuntam, the Govt:
Agent is informed
that a perusal of his
report shows the fraudulent
manner in which

Venkatesamanachar
has been behaving. The
lessee's omission to pay the
amount due under the
lease and the amounts
payable to the British
Govt., entitles Govt.
in the Mysore dept., to
proceed to Court and
get his lease cancelled.
The Govt. Agent is authorized
to take good legal opinion
and proceed to Court
against the lessee and
matters settled

and also for

cancelled

By order

8/3/07

Secretary

RS
8/3/07

To Mr Scott Kent

Ite

Papers
Rs
23/4/07

Office of the Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt
Dated Camp Limmanlly Bridge, the 19th April 1907.

No 64
"
4638
23/4/07

To.

The Secretary to the Government
of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Mufrai Department
Bangalore.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendrarao Mysore.
Government Agent for Sri Vyasaraya Swami
Matt.

Re. Lessee Limmanlly Venkataramanachar's claim
against the Matt in respect of decree vis O.S. No 75/01 on
the file of the District Munsiff Court of Limmanlly.

Regs respectfully to report that the Government
Agents application was heard yesterday by the District
Munsiff of Limmanlly. Limmanlly Venkataramana-
char represented to the Court that he would not
consent to take Rs 500 in satisfaction of the Decree as
agreed to by him with the late Matadhipathe Sri
Vidyavanindrathirtha Swamigalavaru. The Matt
has therefore to pay him more or less Rs 985 as follows
in full satisfaction of the above decree of
ramanachar applies for execution.

Amount of Decree
Interest for about 4 months from date
of institution of the suit to date
on Rs 422-9-1 @ 9 per

	548 " 12 " 7
Interest at 6 per cent from 17th October 1901 to 17th April 1907	181 " 1 " 7
Court Costs about Rupees ——	55 " 1 " 8
Total Rupees	785 " 0 " 0

2. As regards the amount of ₹ 200 due to the Matt from Venkataramanachar ~~undertaken to be recovered~~ on account of the rental of the lease-hold properties for Fasle 1316 it has to be with-held from the Deposit of ₹ 300 due to him from the Matt.

3 As for ₹ 250 due to the Matt from the former lessee Moothoomala Kutembar for Fasle 1314 for which Venkataramanachar has taken a Pro note from him a suit will be filed by Reader Mr. Ramarao on Monday the 22nd Instant on behalf of the Matt against both Moothoomala Kutembar and Venkataramanachar and Mr Ramarao has been requested to take an injunction at once and attach the Decree in O.S. No 75/01 referred to in para 1.

4. The Government Agent managed to take peaceable possession of the lands in Muraippanad which formed a part of Timmavally Venkata-ramanachar's lease-hold and leased it out to one Srinivasa Iyengar the Village Munsiff of Pattaseri for Fasle 1317 (i.e for one year) for a rental ₹ 52.

Mr. Rama Rao has kindly undertaken to

Srivaikuntam and

Sividenpundoram on behalf of the Government Agent and has permitted him to leave this place. The Courts here will be closed on the 24th instant. During the Court holidays Mr. Rama Rao has proceeded to look into this affair and intimate the result

6 If the above arrangement were to prove successful there will be no necessity to file a suit against Tirumanlly Venkataramanachar for ejectment in the manner decided in Government Order No. 4529 dated 18.3.04 at a great cost. Venkataramanachar shall then have to go to Court against the Matt for any relief he ought be entitled to.

7. The Government Agent has paid a sum of Rs. 88.8.1 towards the Kists of Fasle 1316 on account of Tirumanlly Venkataramanachar's lease-hold lands

For Parakramapondi Village
March Kist Rs. 27.0.0
April Kist " 33.0.0 Rs. 60.0.0

For Thirupuleyanpudur village
for all the Kists of Fasle 1316 — Rs. 28.8.1

Total Rupees 88.8.1

8 A sum of Rs. 13.8.0 was expended for issuing Lawyer's notices to Tirumanlly Venkataramanachar and other persons in connection with this case.

9. Muthumala Kutumbam states that

Lease Bond which be executed in favor of the Matt was sent to the Matt through late Tahsildar Mr. Krishna Iyyar. This bond is needed for the prosecution of the case referred to in para 3. The Government Agent respectfully requests that the Lease-Bond may be procured from the Matt and sent to him as it is an important evidence in the case.

M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasaraya Sawmi
Matt.

Secretary Mysore
Dept

W. 5

Copy forwarded to the Govt
Agent with reference to his report
No 64 dated 19th April 1907

2/5/07

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.
Camp Timmapally Bridge
No. Dated 8th February 1908.

Enc. 2

To

Subord
11/2/08

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— Report No 12 dated 31st August 1907

Subject :— Arrears of lease amount due from the Venkatarmanacharlessee of Matt properties at Sri Vaikuntam & villages

Sir,

I beg respectfully to submit for favor of perusal copies of decrees in S.C. Suits Nos. 1662 and 1663 of 1907 on the file of the Subord Judge's Court at Timmapally and to state that both the suits have been decided in favor of the Matt.

2 Arrangements have been made with Vakil Mr. K. Rama Rao to get the decrees duly executed and a

realiged.

I beg to remain

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

Dr. Raphaendrarao

Mysore Govt Agent

for Sri Vijayaraja Swami Iyer

In the Court of the Sub Judge at Tirumanlly

Present M. R. Ry K. Ramanatha Aiyer Avl. B.A.

Small Cause Suit No 1662 of 1907

Between: Sri Vyasaraya Madam Sri
Vidya Samudra Rathnakara
Teertha Swami Avergal
Head its Agent M. Raghavendra
Rao residing at Bangalore
Mysore Territory — Plaintiff.

and:- 1 Venkataramanacharier
Son of Ramacharier
2 Venkatramacharier son of
the 1st defendant, Both
residing at Tirumanlly
1st defendant died. — Defts.

Claim for Rupees 123 " 8 " 0

This cause coming on this day for final disposal in the presence of Mr. M. Rama Rao Vakil on the part of the Plaintiff, and of Mr. Kaliana rama Aiyar, Vakil on the part of the 2nd Defendant, it is ordered that the 2nd Defendant from out of his family property do pay Plaintiff Rs 93.8.0 with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from date of suit 27.8.07 to the date of realization of said sum, and do also pay Rs 18.4 the costs of this suit as taxed

In the seal of the Court this 18th day of October 1907.

Signed/ K. Ramanatha Aiyar
Subordinate Judge

"True copy"

M. Rajamendra Rao
Mysore Govt. Agent
for Sir Kyd. Seshagiri Rao Kt.

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.
Camp Timmapally Bridge
8.

No. 35

CK

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BANGALORE.

Please submit a short note for Subbu soon
Thursday 10/12/08
Note Cont? 18 sub 2

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— Para 6 of my report No 12 dated 31st Aug

Subject :— Small Cause Suit No 2124 of 1907 on the the Subordinate Judge's Court at Tiru against defendants (1) Moothoo Mudali Iyan and (2) late Lessee Venkata Chari Son Venkatramanachar for recovery of Rs 250 with interest at 1 per annum arrears of rent for the Matt for Fasle 1314 from before on account of the lease of Sri Vari properties.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to report above suit has been decided in

suit and a decree was passed yesterday for Rs. 340 with current interest against the 1st Defendant, to whom, under legal advice and in order to avoid further complications, I have permitted the Court costs of the suit. The 2nd Defendant remained ex-parte. The assets of late Venkataramanachar remaining in the possession of the 2nd Defendant is adequate to the amount of Decree.

To make matters smooth I have granted today 1st defendant Moothoomalai Kuttumban lease of the Sri Vaikuntam properties consisting of wet lands 13.95 acres in extent with some permanent palmyra trees for only one year (Fasli 1318) from 1st July 1908 to 30th June 1909 for a rent of Rs. 60 in cash and 57 Kottahs of paddy to be delivered in kind the latter paying the Government dues amounting to Rs. 196.11.6. per year.

The money value of this lease rent =
Rs. 60 + (57 Kottahs of paddy × Rs. 8 per Kottah) =
Rs. 60 + 456 = Rs. 516. Deduct therefrom Rs. 196.11.6 on account of Government dues. Net income the Math for Fasli 1318 is Rs. 319.4.6. This property had been a part of the lease for Rs. 200 per year. Above arrangements will, I trust, meet with

Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M Raghavendra Rao

201

Fo 4-2411 W 1907.3.02

C.R. Memo.

Govt Agents' reports Nos 34 & 35 dated 11th February 1908

The Agent reports that the cases filed against the lessees of Sri Vaikuntam village for the recovery of Rs 250/- being the arrears of rent due to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt for Fasle 1314 in the Sub Judge's Court at Tinnevelly were decided in favour of the matt and that the Decree was passed for Rs 254/- He proposes that the Sri Vaikuntam properties of the matt consisting of wet lands may be leased out to one Muthuswamy Iyer and Palmyra trees for one year (Fasle 1318) from 1st July 1908 to the 30th June 1909 for Rs 6

and 5.7 Kattas of paddy

which amounts to Rs 516/-.

He further states that the

net income of the village

will come to Rs. 319-4-6

deducting the Govt dues, Viz,

Rs 196-11-6

2. Under the ~~above~~ Circs reported

the Govt Agents' ~~pro~~posal is

approved

3. The decretal amount

should be soon recovered

and credited to the Mahl funds.

By order

Cr Order

21/3/06

Secretary

21/3/06

Government Agent,

Swami Mahl